

บรรณานุกรม

- กาญจนา วัฒนสุนทร. (2538). การพัฒนาเกณฑ์ตัดสินข้อสอบลำเอียงทางเพศ. วิทยานิพนธ์
ครุศาสตรดุษฎีบัณฑิต, สาขาวิชาการวัดและประเมินผลการศึกษา, บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย,
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย.
- จิตติมา วรณศรี. (2539). การเปรียบเทียบประสิทธิภาพในการตรวจสอบการทำหน้าที่ต่างกันของ
ข้อสอบด้วยวิธีแมนเทิล-เฮนเซลกับวิธีชิปเทสท์ เมื่อความยาวของแบบสอบ ขนาดกลุ่ม
ตัวอย่างและอัตราส่วนกลุ่มอ้างอิงและกลุ่มเปรียบเทียบต่างกัน. วิทยานิพนธ์ครุศาสตร
มหาบัณฑิต, สาขาวิชาการวัดและประเมินผลการศึกษา, บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย, จุฬาลงกรณ์
มหาวิทยาลัย.
- จินตนา ธนวิบูลย์ชัย. (2536). การวิเคราะห์ข้อมูลด้วยเทคนิค *PATH ANALYSIS*. น.ป.ท.
- ญาณภัทร สีหะมงคล. (2540). การเปรียบเทียบผลการตรวจสอบที่ทำหน้าที่ต่างกัน ระหว่างวิธี
Lord's วิธี *Rajul's Area Measurement* และวิธี *Closed Interval Area*. ปรินญา
นิพนธ์การศึกษาดุษฎีบัณฑิต, สาขาวิชาการทดสอบและการวัดผลการศึกษา,
บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย, มหาวิทยาลัยศรีนครินทรวิโรฒ.
- นงลักษณ์ วิรัชชัย. (2542). โมเดลลิสม์: สถิติวิเคราะห์สำหรับการวิจัย (พิมพ์ครั้งที่ 3).
กรุงเทพฯ: จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย.
- นุชรินทร์ ใบโพธิ์. (2544). การเปรียบเทียบความสอดคล้องของผลการตรวจสอบการทำหน้าที่
ต่างกันของข้อสอบระหว่าง *Lord's χ^2* วิธี *Meantel-Haensel* และวิธีชิปเทสท์.
ปรินญาณิพนธ์การศึกษามหาบัณฑิต, สาขาวิชาการวัดผลการศึกษา, บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย,
มหาวิทยาลัยศรีนครินทรวิโรฒ.
- บุญเชิด ภิญโญอนันตพงษ์. (2545). ความรู้พื้นฐานเกี่ยวกับคุณภาพเครื่องมือวัด. นนทบุรี:
มหาวิทยาลัยสุโขทัยธรรมมาธิราช.
- บุษวรรณ์ แสงปลื้ม. (2550). การเปรียบเทียบวิธีชิปเทสท์แบบหลายค่าที่ใช้รูปแบบการคิดคะแนน
ต่างกันในการตรวจสอบการทำหน้าที่เบี่ยงเบนของข้อคำถามวัดมโนทัศน์แห่งตน.
ปรินญาณิพนธ์การศึกษามหาบัณฑิต, สาขาวิชาการวัดผลการศึกษา, มหาวิทยาลัย
ศรีนครินทรวิโรฒ.
- ผจงจิต อินทสุวรรณ. (2530, พฤษภาคม – สิงหาคม). แนวทางการศึกษาความลำเอียงของข้อสอบ.
การวัดผลการศึกษา, 108(1), 54-62.
- พงษ์พันธ์ พงษ์โสภา. (2542). จิตวิทยาการศึกษา. กรุงเทพฯ: พัฒนาศึกษา.

พัชร ปิยะภักดิ์. (2531). การวิเคราะห์ความลำเอียงของข้อสอบจากแบบทดสอบวัดผลสัมฤทธิ์ทางการเรียน. ปรินญาณิพนธ์การศึกษามหาบัณฑิต, สาขาวิชาการวัดผลการศึกษา, บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย, มหาวิทยาลัยศรีนครินทรวิโรฒ.

วลีมาศ แซ่อึ้ง. (2543). การเปรียบเทียบอำนาจการทดสอบและอัตราความคลาดเคลื่อนประเภทที่ 1 ในตรวจสอบการทำหน้าที่ต่างกันของข้อสอบอนกรูประหว่างวิธีชิปเทสท์ปรับปรุง วิธีชิปเทสท์ วิธี HM และวิธี LR. วสารวิธีวิทยาการวิจัย, 14(2), 216-230.

ศิริชัย กาญจนวาสี. (2550). ทฤษฎีการทดสอบแนวใหม่ (พิมพ์ครั้งที่ 3). กรุงเทพฯ: โรงพิมพ์แห่งจุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย.

สำเริง บุญเรืองรัตน์. (2548). ข้อสอบทำหน้าที่ต่างกัน. ม.ป.ท.

สิริรัตน์ ภาสศิลป์. (2545). การเปรียบเทียบวิธีชิปเทสท์และดีเอฟไอทีในการตรวจสอบการทำหน้าที่เบี่ยงเบนของข้อสอบ หมวดข้อสอบและแบบทดสอบจากข้อมูลการตอบข้อสอบที่ใช้ความสามารถหลายมิติ. ปรินญาณิพนธ์การศึกษาคุญธิบัณฑิต, สาขาวิชาการทดสอบและการวัดผลการศึกษา, บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย, มหาวิทยาลัยศรีนครินทรวิโรฒ.

เสรี ชัดเข้ม. (2540 ก). วิธีทางสถิติที่ใช้ตรวจสอบการทำหน้าที่ต่างกัน. วารสารมหาวิทยาลัยบูรพา, 2(1), 41-53.

เสรี ชัดเข้ม. (2540 ข). การเปรียบเทียบผลการตรวจสอบการทำหน้าที่ต่างกันแบบไม่สม่ำเสมอของข้อสอบระหว่างวิธีแมนเทิล แชนเซล แบบแบ่งกลุ่มตามความสามารถและความยาวของข้อสอบ. วิทยานิพนธ์ครุศาสตรคุญธิบัณฑิต, สาขาวิชาการวัดและประเมินผลการศึกษา, บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย, จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย.

Abad, F. J. (2004). Sex differential item functioning in the raven's advanced progressive matrices: Evidence for bias. *Personality & Individual Differences*, 36(6), 12, 1459.

Ackerman, P. L. (1992). Predicting individual differences in complex skill acquisition: Dynamics of ability determinants. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 108, 598-614.

Ackerman, P. L., Bowen, K. R., Beier, M. E., & Kanfer, R. (2001). Determinants of individual differences and gender differences in knowledge. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 93, 797-825.

Ackerman, T. A. (1992, Spr). A didactic explanation of item bias, item impact, and item validity from a multidimensional perspective. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 29(1), 67-91.

Ackerman, T. A., & Evans, J. A. (1994). The influence of conditioning scores in performing DIF analyses. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 18(4), 329-342.

- Adkins-Wood. (1961). D. Adkins-Wood, *Test construction*. Columbus, OH: Merrill.
- Anastasi, A. (1976). *Psychological testing*. New York: MacMillan.
- Anderson & Gerbing. (1982). The effects of sampling error and model characteristics on parameter estimation for maximum likelihood confirmatory factor analysis. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 20, 255-271.
- Armstrong, T. (1994). *Multiple intelligence* (Online). Available URL: http://www.thomasarmstrong.com/multiple_intelligences.htm
- Aroian, K. J., & Norris, A. E. (2001). Confirmatory factor analysis. In B. H. Munro. *Statistical methods for healthcare research* (4th ed.). Philadelphia: Lippincott William & Wilkins.
- Arrindell, W. A., & van der Ende, J. (1985). An empirical test of the utility of the observations-to-variables ratio in factor and components analysis. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 9, 165 - 178.
- Barrett, P. T., & Kline, P. (1981). The observation to variable ratio in factor analysis. *Personality Study in Group Behavior*, 1, 23-33.
- Barry et al. (2000). C. T. Barry, P. J. Frick, T. Grooms, M. G. McCoy, M. L. Ellis & B. R. Loney, The importance of callous-unemotional traits for extending the concept of psychopathic traits to children. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 109, 335-340.
- Bentler, P. M. (1990). Comparative fit indices in structural model. *Psychological Bulletin*, 107, 238-246.
- _____. (1995). *EQS structural equation program model*. New Jersey: Multivariate Software, Mahwah.
- Bernstein, I. H., Garbin, C., & Teng, G. (1988). *Applied multivariate analysis*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Binet, Alfred, & Simon, THÉ ODORE. (1916). *The Development of Intelligence in Children (The Binet-Simon Scale)*, trans. Elizabeth S. Kite. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins.
- Blair et al., R. J. R. Blair, K. S. Peschardt, S. Budhani, D. G. V. Mitchell & D. S. Pine. (2006). The development of psychopathy. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 47 (3-4), 26-275.

- Bollen. (1989). *Structural equations with latent variables*. New York: JohnWiley & Sons.
- _____. (1990). Overall fit in covariance structure models: Two types of sample size effects. *Psychological Bulletin*, 107, 256-259.
- Boomsma, A. (1983). *On the robustness of LISREL maximum likelihood estimation against small sample size and nonnormality*. Amsterdam: Sociometric Research Foundation.
- _____. (2000). Reporting analyses of covariance structures. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 7(3), 461-483.
- Brown, T. A. (2006). *Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research*. New York: The Guildford Press.
- Browne & Cudeck. (1993). M.W. Browne and R. Cudeck, *Alternative ways of assessing model fit*. In: K.A. Bollen and J.S. Long, Editors, *Testing structural equation models*, Sage, Newbury Park, CA, 136-162.
- Bryant, F. B., & Yarnold, P. R. (1995). Principal components analysis and exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis. In L. G. Grimm & R R. Yarnold (Eds.), *Reading and understanding multivariate statistic*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Camilli, G. (1992). A conceptual analysis of differential item functioning in terms of a multidimensional item response model. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 16, 129-147.
- Camilli, G., & Lorrie, A. L. (1994). *Methods for identifying biased test items*. California: Sage Publications.
- Camilli, G., & Shepard, L. A. (1994). *Methods for identifying biased test items*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Campbell, F. A., & Ramey, C. T. (1994). *Effects of Early Intervention on Intellectual and Academic Development: A Follow-Up Study of Children from Low-Income Families*.
- Carroll, J. B. (1982). The measurement of intelligence. In R. J. Sternberg (Ed.), *Handbook of Human Intelligence* (pp. 29-120). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- _____. (1993). *J.B. Carroll, Human cognitive abilities: A survey of factor-analytic studies*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

- Cattell, R. B. (1968). Spearman, C. E. In *International Encyclopedia of the Social Sciences*, 15, D. E. Sills (Ed.), (pp. 108-111). New York: Macmillan.
- _____. (1971). Spearman, C. E. In *International Encyclopedia of the Social Sciences*, 15, D. E. Sills, (Ed.), (pp. 108-111). New York: Macmillan.
- _____. (1978). *The scientific use of factor analysis*. New York: Plenum.
- Chen et al. (2006). F. F. Chen, S. G. West, & K. H. Sousa, A comparison of bifactor and second-order models of quality of life. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 41(2), 189– 225.
- Chen, Fang Fang, West, Stephen G. Sousa, Karen H. (2006). A comparison of bifactor and second-order models of quality of life. *MULTIVARIATE BEHAVIORAL RESEARCH*, 41(2), 189–225.
- Chernyshenko, O. S., Stark, S., & Chan, K. Y. (2001). Investigating the hierarchical factor structure of the Fifth Edition of the 16PF: an application of the Schmid–Leiman orthogonalization procedure. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 61, 290–302.
- Chou, C. P., & Bentler, P. M. (1995). Estimates and tests in structural equation modeling. In Rick H. Hoyle (Ed.), 37-55. *Structural equation modeling: Concepts, issues, and applications*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications
- Cole, D. (1985). Multitrait-multimethod comparisons across populations: A confirmatory factor analytic approach. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 20(4), 29, 389.
- Comrey, A. L., & Lee, H. B. (1992). *A first course in factor analysis*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Conor V. D, Jelte, M. W. (2004). A note on the relationship between the number of indicators and their reliability in detecting regression coefficients in latent regression analysis. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 11, 210-216.
- Costello, A. B., & Osborne, J. W. (2005). Best practices in exploratory factor analysis: Four recommendations for getting the most from your analysis. *Practical Assessment Research & Evaluation*, 10(7). Retrieved July 3, 2008 from <http://pareonline.net/pdf/v10n7a.pdf>
- Crocker, L., & Algina, J. (1986). *Introduction to classical & modern test theory*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.

- Cronbach, L. J. (1970). *Essentials of psychological testing* (3rd ed.). New York: Harper & Row.
- Daniel, W. W. (1988). *Applied parametric statistics*. Boston: PWS-Kent.
- David, G. (2008). *Factor analysis: Statnotes*. Retrieved March 22, 2008.
- Deary et al. (2000). The stability of individual differences in mental ability from childhood to old age: Follow-up of the 1932 Scottish mental survey. *Intelligence*, 28(1), 49 - 55.
- DeVellis, R. F. (1991). Scale development: Theory and applications. *Applied Social Research Methods Series*, 26.
- Dickey, B. (1996). *Outcomes assessment in clinical practice*. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins.
- Everitt. (1975). Multivariate analysis: The need for data, and other problems. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 126, 2S7-240.
- Fabrigar, L. R., Wegener, D. T., MacCallum, R. C., & Strahan, E. J. (1999). Evaluating the use of exploratory factor analysis in psychological research. *Psychological Methods*, 4, 272-299.
- Fan, X. (2003). Using commonly available software for bootstrapping in both substantive and measurement analyses. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 63, 24-50.
- Fan, X., & Sivo, S. A. (2005). Sensitivity of fit indices to misspecified structural or measurement model components: Rationale of two-index strategy revisited. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 12(3), 343-367.
- Fan, X., & Wang, L. (1998). Effects of potential confounding factors on fit indices and parameter estimates for true and misspecified models. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 58(5), 701-735.
- Fang Fang Chen, Stephen G. W, & Karen, L. (2006, June). A comparison of bifactor and second-order models of quality of life. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 41(2), 189-225.
- Finch, H. (2005). The MIMIC model as a method for detecting DIF: Comparison with mantel-haenszel, SIBTEST, and the IRT Likelihood Ratio. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 29(4), 18, 278-295.
- Finch, W. H. (2007). Detection of crossing differential Item functioning: A comparison of four methods. *Educational*, 67(4), p 565-582, 14p, 3 charts, 1 graph.

- Fisher & Blair. (1998). Cognitive impairment and its relationship to psychopathic tendencies in children with emotional and behavioral difficulties. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 26, 511–519.
- Ford, J. K., MacCallum, R. C., & Tait, M. (1986). The application of exploratory factor analysis in applied psychology: A critical review and analysis. *Personnel Psychology*, 39, 291–314.
- Garson, D. G. (2008). *Factor analysis: Statnotes*. Retrieved March 22, 2008, from North Carolina State University Public Administration Program, <http://www2.chass.ncsu.edu/garson/pa765/factor.htm>.
- Gelin, M. N. (2004). The dimensionality and gender differential item functioning of the Mini asthma quality of life questionnaire (MINIAQLQ). *Social Indicators Research*, 68(1), 15, 91–105.
- Gerbing, D. W., & Anderson, J. C. (1993). Monte Carlo evaluations of goodness-of-fit indices for structural equation models. In K. A. Bollen, & J. S. Long (Eds.), *Testing structural equation models*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Gignac, G. E. (2005 a). Openness to experience, general intelligence and crystallized intelligence: A methodological extension. *Intelligence*, 33, 161–167.
- _____. (2005 b). Revisiting the factor structure of the WAIS-R: Insights through nested factor modeling. *Assessment*, 12(3), 320–329.
- _____. (2006 a). A confirmatory examination of the factor structure of the multidimensional aptitude battery. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 66(1), 136–145.
- _____. (2006 b). Evaluating subtest ‘g’ saturation levels via the single trait—correlated uniqueness SEM approach: Evidence in favour of crystallized subtests as the best indicators of ‘g’. *Intelligence*, 34, 29–46.
- _____. (2006 c). The WAIS-III as a nested factors model: A useful alternative to the more conventional oblique and higher-order models. *Journal of Individual Differences*, 27, 73–86.
- Gignac, G. E. (2007). Multi-factor modeling in individual differences research: Some recommendations and suggestions. *Personality & Individual Differences*, 42(1), 37–48.

- Gignac, G. E., Palmer, B. R., Manocha, R., & Stough, C. (2005). An examination of the factor structure of the schutte self-report emotional intelligence (SSREI) scale via confirmatory factor analysis. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 39(6), 1029-1042.
- Gorsuch, R. L. (1983). *Factor analysis* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Guilford, J. P. (1954). *Psychometric methods* (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- _____. (1967). *The nature of human intelligence*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Gustafsson & Balke. (1993). J. E. Gustafsson and G. Balke, General and specific abilities as predictors of school achievement. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 28, 407-434.
- Gustafsson, J. E. (1984). A unifying model for the structure of intellectual abilities. *Intelligence*, 8, 179 - 203.
- Gustafsson, J., & Balke, G. (1993). General and specific abilities as predictors of school achievement. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 28, 407-434.
- Hair, J. F. J., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, W. C. (1998). *Multivariate data analysis* (4th ed.). Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Hambleton et al. (1991). *Fundamentals of item response theory*. Newbury Park, California: Sage Publications.
- Hambleton, R. K., & Clauser, R. E. (1998). Multidimensional DIF effects of matching on unidimensional subtest scores. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 22(4), 357-367.
- Hare & Neumann. (2006). The PCL-R assessment of psychopathy: Development, structural properties, and new directions. In C.J. Patrick, Editor, *Handbook of psychopathic traits* (pp. 58-88). New York: Guilford.
- Hatcher, L. (1994). *A step-by-step approach to using the SAS ® System for factor analysis and structural equation modeling*. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- Hayduk, L. A. (1987). *Structural equation modeling with LISREL: Essentials and advances*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Henson, R. K., & Roberts, J. K. (2006). Use of exploratory factor analysis in published research: Common errors and some comment on improved practice. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 66, 393-416.
- Herbert W. M., & John, R. B. (1988, May). Goodness-of-fit indexes in confirmatory factor analysis: The effect of sample size. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103(3), 391-410.

- Hogarty, K. Y., Hines, C. V., Kromrey, J. D., Ferron, J. M., & Mumford, K. R. (2005). The quality of factor solutions in exploratory factor analysis: The influence of sample size, communality, and overdetermination. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 65, 202-226.
- Holzinger, K. J., & Swineford, F. (1937). The bi-factor method. *Psychometrika*, 2, 42-54.
- _____. (1939). A study in factor analysis: The stability of a bi-factor solution. *Supplementary Educational Monographs Vol. 48*. Chicago: Department of Education, University of Chicago.
- Horn, J. (1997). On the mathematical relationship between factor or component coefficients and differences in means. *Cahiers de Psychologie Cognitive*, 16, 721-728.
- Horn, J. L. (1967). Age differences in fluid and crystallized intelligence. *Acta Psychologica*, 26, 107-129.
- Hu & Bentler. (1999). findings. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 11(3), 320-341.
- Hu, L. T., & Bentler, P. (1995). Evaluating model fit. In R. H. Hoyle (Ed.), *Structural Equation Modeling. Concepts, Issues, and Applications* (pp. 76-99). London: Sage.
- Hu, L., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 6, 1-55.
- Hu, L. T., & Bentler, P. (1995). Evaluating model fit. In R. H. Hoyle (Ed.), *Structural Equation Modeling. Concepts, Issues, and Applications* (pp. 76-99). London: Sage.
- Humphreys, L. G. (1971). The organization of human abilities. *American Psychologist*, 17, 475-483.
- Hutcheson, G., & Sofroniou, N. (1999). *The multivariate social scientist: Introductory statistics using generalized linear models*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Hyde, J. S., & Linn, M. C. (1988). Gender differences in verbal ability: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 104, 53-69.
- Jelte, M. W., & Conor, V. D. (2004). A note on the relationship between the number of indicators and their reliability in detecting regression coefficients in latent regression analysis. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 11(2), 210 - 216.

- Jensen, A. R. (1980). *Bias in mental testing*. New York: Free Press.
- _____. (1985). The nature of the black-white difference on various psychometric tests: Spearman's hypothesis. *Brain and Behavioral Sciences*, 8, 193-263.
- _____. (1992). Spearman's hypothesis: Methodology and evidence. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 27(2), 225-233.
- _____. (1998). *The g factor. The science of mental ability*. Westport: Praeger.
- Jensen, A. R., & Reynolds, C. R. (1982). Race, social class and ability patterns on the WISC-R. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 3, 423-438.
- Jensen, A. R., & Weng, L. J. (1994). What is good g? *Intelligence*, 18, 231-258.
- Joreskog, K. G. (1969). A general approach to confirmatory maximum likelihood factor analysis. *Psychometrika*, 34, 183-202.
- Joreskog, K. G., & Sorbom, D. (1981). *Advances in factor analysis and structural equation models*. Cambridge, MA: Abt Books.
- _____. (1989). *LISREL 7: A guide to the program and applications* (2nd ed.). Chicago: SPSS.
- _____. (1993). *LISREL 8: Structural equation modeling with the SIMPLIS and Language*. Chicago: Scientific Software International.
- Keeves, J. P. (1988). *Educational research methodology and measurement* (2nd ed.). New York: Pergamon.
- Kenny, D. A., & McCoach, D. B. (2003). Effect of the number of variables on measures of fit in structural equation modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 10(3), 333-351.
- Kerlinger. (1986). *Foundations of behavioral research* (3rd ed.). New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Kerlinger, F. N., & Pedhazur, E. J. (1973). *Multiple regression in behavioral research*. New York: Holt, Rinehart.
- Kline, P. (1979). *Psychometrics and psychology*. London: Academic Press.
- Kline, R. B. (2005). *Principles and practice of structural equation modelings* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford.
- Kristiansson, E. (2005). A comparison of four methods for detecting differential item functioning in ordered response item. *Educational & Psychological Measurement*, 65(6), 935-953.

- Kyllonen, P. C. (2001). 'g': Knowledge, Speed, Strategies, or Working-Memory Capacity? A Systems Perspective. In *The General Factor of Intelligence: How General Is It?* ed. Lawley, D. N., & Maxwell, A. E. (1971). *Factor analysis as a statistical method*. London: Butterworth and Co.
- Lim Tock Keng. (1993). Gender-related differences in intelligence: Application of confirmatory factor analysis. *Science Direct*, 19(2), 179-192.
- Lindeman, R. H., Merenda, P. F., & Gold, R. Z. (1980). *Introduction to bivariate and multivariate analysis*. Glenview, IL: Scott, Foresman.
- Lippincott William & Wilkins. Atkinson, Richard C. (2001). Tests and Access to American Universities. *The 2001 Robert H. Atwell Distinguished Lecture Delivered at the 83rd Annual Meeting of the American Council on Education*, Washington, DC.
- Lohman, D. F. (2000). Complex Information Processing and Intelligence. *Handbook of Intelligence*, ed. Robert J. Sternberg. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Long, S. (1983). *Confirmatory factor analysis*. Sage University Paper series on Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences, No 33. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Lovie, A. D., & Lovie, P. (1993). Charles spearman, cyril Burt, and the origins of factor analysis. *Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences*, 29, 308-321.
- Lynn, R. (1987). Nutrition and Intelligence. In *Biological Approaches to the Study of Intelligence*, ed. Phillip. A. Vernon. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- MacCallum, R. C., Widaman, K. F., Preacher, K. J., & Hong, S. (2001). Sample size in factor analysis: The role of model error. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 36, 611-637.
- MacCallum, R. C., Widaman, K. F., Zhang, S., & Hong, S. (1999). Sample size in factor analysis. *Psychological Methods*, 4, 84-99.
- Maccoby, E. E., & Jacklin, C. N. (1974). *The psychology of sex differences*. CA: Stanford.
- Marsh, H. W., Balla, J. R., & McDonald, R. P. (1988). Goodness of fit indexes in confirmatory factor analysis: The effect of sample size. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103, 391-410.
- Marsh, H. W., Hau, Kit-Tai, & Wen, Z. (2004). In search of golden rules: Comment on hypothesis- testing approaches to setting cutoff values for fit indexes and dangers in over generalizing. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 33, 181-220.

- Mayer, J., Caruso, David R., & Salovey, Peter. (2000). Selecting a measure of emotional intelligence: The case for ability scales. In *The handbook of emotional intelligence: Theory, development, assessment, and application at home, school, and in the workplace*, ed. Reuven Bar-On. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Mazor, K. M. (1992). Using statistical procedures to identify differentially functioning test items. An NCME instructional module. *Educational Measurement: Issues & Practice*, 17, 443 – 451.
- McDonald, R. P., & Ho, M. (2002). Principles and practice in reporting structural equation analysis. *Psychological Methods*, 7(1), 64–82.
- McGraw & Joreskog. (1971). *Personality and Individual Differences*, 35(6), 1255-1260.
- Miles & Jeremy. (2007). *Personality and Individual Differences*, 42(5), 869-874.
- Mulaik, S. A. (1972). *The foundation of factor analysis*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Mulaik, S. A., & Quartetti, D. A. (1997). First order or higher order general factor? *Structural Equation Modeling*, 4, 193-211.
- Mulaik, S. A., James, L. R., Van Alstine, J., Bennett, N., Lind, S., & Stilwell, C. D. (1989). Evaluation of goodness-of-fit indices for structural equation models. *Psychological Bulletin*, 105, 430-445.
- Nanally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric theory* (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Nandakumar, R. (1993). Simultaneous DIF amplification and cancellation: Shealy-Stout's test for DIF. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 16, 159-176.
- Norusis, M. (2005). *SPSS 13.0 Statistical Procedures Companion*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River.
- Osborne, J. W., & Costello, A. B. (2004). Sample size and subject to item ratio in principal components analysis. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 9(11), Retrieved July 1, 2008 from <http://PAREonline.net/getvn.asp?v=9&n=11>
- Oshima, T. C., & Miller, M. D. (1992). Multimensionality and item bias in item response theory. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 16, 237-248.
- Oshima, T. C., Raju, N. S., & Flowers, C. P. (1997). Development and demonstration of multidimensional IRT-based internal measures of differential functioning of items and tests. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 34(3), 253-272.

- Oshima, T. C., Raju, N. S., Flowers, C. P., & Slinde, J. A. (1997). Differential bundle functioning using the DFIT framework: Procedures for identifying possible sources of differential functioning. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 11(4), 353-369.
- Oshima, T. C., Raju, N. S. (1989). A description and demonstration of the polytomous DFIT framework. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 23, 309-326.
- Pae, Tae-Il. (2006, Oct). Examining the relationship between differential item functioning and differential test functioning. *Language Testing*, 23(4), 22, 475-496.
- Potenza, M. T., & Dorans, N. J. (1995). DIF assessment for polytomously scored item: A framework for classification and evaluation. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 19, 23-37.
- Preacher, K. J., & MacCallum, R. C. (2002). Exploratory Factor Analysis in Behavior Genetics Research: Factor Recovery with Small Sample Sizes. *Behavior Genetics*, 32, 153-161.
- Raykov, T. (2000). On the large-sample bias, variance, and mean squared error of the conventional noncentrality parameter estimator of covariance structure models. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 7, 431-441.
- Raykov, T. (2005). Bias-corrected estimation of noncentrality parameters of covariance structure models. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 12, 120-129.
- Reckase, M. D. (1985). The difficulty of test items that measure more than one ability. *Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association*, Chicago, IL.
- Steven, R. (2001, Jan). Invariance on the NEO PI-R Neuroticism Scale. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 36(1), 28, 83-110.
- Reith & Roznowski. (1991). Simultaneous DIF amplification and cancellation: Shealy-stout's test for DIF. *Journal of Education Measurement*, 30(4), 293-311.
- Reynold & Streur. (1981). Methods for studying bias in psychological and educational tests. *Assessment for Effective Intervention*, 17(1), 21-39.
- Rindskopf & Rose. (1998). Some theory and applications of confirmatory second-order factor analysis. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 23, 51-67.
- Rossen, E. (2008, Apr). Confirmatory factor analysis of the Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test V 2.0 (MSCEIT). *Personality & Individual Differences*, 44(5), 12, 1258-1269.

- Schmid, J., & Leiman, J. M. (1957). The development of hierarchical factor solutions. *Psychometrika*, 22, 53–61.
- Schmitt & Stults. (1985). N. Schmitt and D.M. Stults, Factors defined by negatively keyed items: The result of careless respondents?. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 9(4), 367–373.
- Schumacker, R. E., & Lomax, R. G. (1996). *A beginner's guide to structural equation modeling*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Scott, J. (2006). On the interpretation of factor analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 70(5), 361–364.
- Shealy, R., & Stout, W. F. (1993). A model-based standardization approach that separates true bias/DIF from group differences and detects test bias/DIF as well as item bias/DIF. *Psychometrika*, 58, 159–194.
- Siemer, M. (2003, Dec). Power and measures of effect size in analysis of variance with fixed versus random nested factors. *Psychological Methods*, 8(4), 21, 497–517.
- Sorbom, D. (1974). A general method for studying differences in factor means and factor structure between groups. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 27, 229–239.
- Spearman, C. (1904). General intelligence objectively determined and measured. *American Journal of Psychology*, 15, 201–293.
- Steiger, J. H. (1989). *A supplementary module for SYSTAT and SYGRAPH*. Evanston, IL: SYSTAT.
- _____. (1990). Structural model evaluation and modification: and interval estimation approach. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 25, 173–180.
- Sternberg, R. (1997). *Successful intelligence*. New York: Plume.
- Stevens, J. J. (2007, Dec). Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the TerraNova Comprehensive Tests of Basic Skills/5. *Educational & Psychological Measurement*, 67(6), 976–989.
- Stout et al. (1997). MULTISIB: A procedure to investigate DIF when a test is intentionally two-dimensional. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 21(3), 195–213.

- Tanaka, J. S. (1993). Multifaceted conceptions of fit in structural equation models. In K. A. Bollen, & J. S. Long (Eds.), *Testing structural equation models*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Thompson, B. (2004). *Exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis: Understanding concepts and applications*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.
- Thompson, G. H. (1951). *The factor analysis of human ability* (5th ed.). New York: Houghton-Mifflin.
- Thurstone, L. L. (1938). *Primary mental abilities*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- _____. (1947). *Multiple factor analysis*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Tucker, L. R., & Lewis, C. (1973). Reliability coefficient for maximum likelihood factor analysis. *Psychometrika*, 38, 1-10.
- Undheim & Gustafson. (1996). Individual differences in cognitive function. In D.C. Berliner, R. C. Calfee (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (pp. 186-242). NY: Simon & Schuster Macmillan.
- Vandenberg, R. J., & Lance, C. E. (2000). A review and synthesis of the measurement invariance literature: Suggestions, practices, and recommendations for organizational research. *Organizational Research Methods*, 3(1), 4-70.
- Velicer, W. F., & Fava, J. L. (1998). Effects of variable and subject sampling on factor pattern recovery. *Psychological Methods*, 3, 231-251.
- Walsh, W. B., & Belz, N. E. (1995). *Test and assessment* (3rd ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Wampold, B., & Serlin, R. C. (2000). The consequence of ignoring a nested factor on measures of effect size in analysis of variance designs. *Psychological Methods*, 5, 425-433.
- Wen-Chung Wang. (2004, Nov). Factors influencing the mantel and generalized mantel haenszel methods for the assessment of differential item functioning in polytomous items. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 28(6), 31, 450-480.
- Weiten, W. (1989). *Psychology themes and variation*. Pacific Grove, California; Brooks/Cole.
- Williams, L., Ford, L., & Nguyen, N. (2002). Basic and advanced measurement models for confirmatory factor analysis. In S. Rogelberg (Ed.), *Handbook of research methods in industrial and organizational psychology* (pp. 366-389). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishers.

- Woods, C. M. (2009, Jan). Empirical selection of anchors for tests of differential item functioning. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 33(1), 16, 42-57.
- Wu, Amery D. (2006). Using multiple-variable matching to identify cultural sources of differential item functioning. *International Journal of Testing*, 6(3), 14, 287-300, 3 charts.
- Ya-Hui Su. (2005). Efficiency of the mantel, generalized mantel-haenszel, and logistic discriminant function analysis methods in detecting differential item functioning for polytomous Items. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 18(4), 313-350.
- Yung, Y. F., McLeod, L. D., & Thissen, D. (1999). On the relationship between the higher-order factor model and the hierarchical factor model. *Psychometrika*, 64, 113-128.
- Zimprich & Daniel. (2005, Jun). A two-level confirmations factor analysis of a modified Rosenberg self-esteem scale. *Educational & Psychological Measurement*, 65(3), 17, 465-481.
- Zimmerman, D. W., & Williams, R. H. (1997). Properties of the Spearman correction for attenuation for normal and realistic non-normal distributions. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 21, 253-270.
- Zumbo, B. D. (1999). A Handbook on the Theory and Methods of Differential Item Functioning (DIF): Logistic Regression Modeling as a Unitary Framework for Binary and Likert-Type (Ordinal) Item Scores (Directorate of Human Resources Research and Evaluation, Department of National Defense, Ottawa, ON).
- Zumbo, B. D., & Hubley, A. M. (2003). 'Item bias', in R. Fernández-Ballesteros (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Psychological Assessment* (CA) (pp. 505-509). Thousand Oaks Sage Press.