

บรรณานุกรม

- Asparouhov, T., & Muthén, B. (2010). Bayesian analysis of latent variable models using Mplus. *Mplus Technical Report*. September 29, 2010. Retrieved August 19, 2012, <http://www.statmodel.com/download/Bayes3.pdf>
- Babakus, E., Ferguson, C. E., & Jöreskog, K. G. (1987). The sensitivity of confirmatory maximum likelihood factor analysis to violations of measurement scale and distributions. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 37, 72 – 141.
- Bandalos, D. L. (2006). The use of Monte Carlo study in structural equation modeling research. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Second Course*. Washington: Information Age.
- Bartlett, J. E., Kotrlik, J. W., & Higgins, C. C. (2001). Organizational research: Determining appropriate sample size in survey research. *Information Technology, Learning and Performance Journal*, 19(1), 43 – 50.
- Beauducel, A., & Herzberg, P. Y. (2006). On the performance of maximum likelihood versus means and variance adjusted weight least squares estimation in CFA. *Structural Equations Modeling*, 13, 186 – 203.
- Bollen, K. A. (1989). *Structural Equation with Latent Variables*. Canada: John Wiley & Sons.
- Brown, T. A. (2006). *Confirmatory Factor Analysis for Applied Research*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Byrne, B. M. (2012). *Structural Equation Modeling with Mplus: Basic Concepts, Applications and Programming*. New York: Taylor & Francis Group.
- Chou, C. P., Bentler, P. M., & Satorra, A. (1991). Scaled test statistics and robust standard errors for non – normal data and covariance structure analysis: A Monte Carlo study. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 44, 347 – 357.
- Chumney, F. L. (2012). Comparison of maximum likelihood, bayesian, partial least square and generalized structured component analysis methods for estimation of structural equation models with small sample: An exploratory study. *Open Access Thesis and Dessertations from the College of Education and Human Sciences*. Lincoln: The College of CEHS.

- Curran, P. J., West, S. G., & Finch, J. F. (1996). The robustness of test statistics to nonnormality and specification error in confirmatory factor analysis. *Psychological Methods*, 1(1), 16 – 29.
- Diamantopoulos, A., & Sigew, A. D. (2000). *Introducing LISREL: A Guide for the Uninitiated*. London: Sage Publishing.
- DiStefano, C. (2002). The impact of categorization with confirmatory factor analysis. *Structural Equations Modeling*, 9, 327 – 346.
- Finney, S. J., & DiStefano, C. (2006). Non – normal and categorical data in structural equation modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Second Course*. Washington: Information Age Publishing.
- Finch, J. F., West, S. G., & Mackinnon, D.P. (1997). Effect of sample size and nonnormality on the estimation of mediated effects in latent variable models. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 4, 87 – 107.
- Flora, D. B., & Curran, P. J. (2004). An empirical evaluation of alternative methods of estimation for confirmatory factor analysis with ordinal data. *Psychological Methods*, 9, 466 – 491.
- Forero, C. G., Olivares, A. M., & Pujol, D. G. (2009). Factor analysis with ordinal indicators: A Monte Carlo study comparing DWLS and ULS estimation. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 16, 625 – 641.
- Freund, J. E. (1992). *Mathematical Statistics with Application* (7th ed.). New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Green, S. B., Akey, T. M., Fleming, K. K., Hershberger, S. L., & Marquis, J. G. (1997). Effect of the number of scale points on chi – square fit indices in confirmatory factor analysis. *Structural Equations Modeling*, 4, 108 – 120.
- Hair, J. F. J., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (7th Ed.). New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Hu, L., Bentler, P. M., & Kano, Y. (1992). Can test statistics in covariance structure analysis be trusted? *Psychological Bulletin*, 122, 351 – 362.
- Hutchinson, S. R., & Olmos, A. (1998). Behavior of descriptive fit indexes in confirmatory factor analysis using ordered categorical data. *Structural Equations Modeling*, 5, 344 – 364.

- Jöreskog, K. G. (1990). New developments in LISREL: Analysis of ordinal variables using polychoric correlations and weighted least squares. *Quality and Quantity*, 24, 387 – 404.
- Jöreskog, K. G., & Sörbom, D. (1993). *LISREL 8: Structural Equation Modeling with the SIMPLIS Command Language*. Chicago: Scientific Software International.
- Kline, R. B. (2005). *Principles and Practice of Structural Equations Modeling* (2nd ed.). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Kerlinger, F. N., & Lee, H. B. (2000). *Foundations of Behavioral Research* (4th ed.). Texas: Harcourt College.
- Lee, S. Y. (2007). *Structural Equation Modeling: A Bayesian Approach*. Canada: John Wiley & Sons.
- Lei, P. (2009). Evaluating estimation methods for ordinal data in structural equation modeling. *Quality & Quantity*, 43, 495 – 507.
- Lei, M., & Lomax, R. G. (2005). The effect of varying degrees of nonnormality in structural equation modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 12(1), 1 – 27.
- Muthén, B. (1983). Latent variable structural equation modeling with categorical data. *Journal of Econometrics*, 22, 48 – 65.
- _____. (1984). A general structural equation model with dichotomous, ordered categorical and continuous latent variable indicators. *Psychometrika*, 49, 115 – 132.
- _____. (2010). Bayesian analysis in Mplus: A brief introduction. *Mplus Technical Report*. September 29, 2010. Retrieved August 19, 2012, from <https://www.statmodel.com/download/IntroBayesVersion%203.pdf>
- Muthén, B., & Asparouhov, T. (2011). Bayesian SEM: A more flexible representation of substantive theory. *Mplus Technical Report*. October 21, 2011. Retrieved August 19, 2012, from <http://www.statmodel.com/download/BSEMFINAL10212011.pdf>
- Muthén, B., & Kaplan, D. (1985). A comparison of some methodologies for the factor analysis of non – normal Likert variable. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 38, 171 – 189.
- _____. (1992). A comparison of some methodologies for the factor analysis of non – normal Likert variable: A note on the size of the model. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 45, 19 – 30.

- Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (2002). How to use a Monte Carlo study to decide on sample size and determine power. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 9, 599 – 620.
- _____. (2007). *Mplus Users Guide (Version 5.0)*. Los Angeles: Author.
- Myers, N. D., Ahn, S., & Jin, Y. (2011). Sample size and power estimates for confirmatory factor analytic model in exercise and sport: A Monte Carlo approach. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 82(3), 412 – 423.
- Potthast, M. J. (1993). Confirmatory factor analysis of ordered categorical variables with large models. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 46, 273 – 286.
- Rigdon, E. E., & Ferguson, C. E. (1991). The performance of the polychoric correlation coefficient and selected fitting functions in confirmatory factor analysis with ordinal data. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 28, 491 – 497.
- Schumacker, R. E., & Lomax, R. G. (2010). *A Beginner's Guide to Structural Equation Modeling* (3rd ed.). New York: Taylor and Francis Group.
- Schemelleh, E. K., Moosbrugger, H. & Müller, H. (2003). Evaluating the fit of structural equation model: Tests of significance and descriptive goodness of fit measures. *Methods of Psychological research Online*, 87(2).
- Trierweiler, T. (2009). *An evaluation of estimation methods in confirmatory factor analytic model with ordered categorical data in LISREL*. Doctoral dissertation, Department of Psychology, Fordham University, New York.
- Vermunt, J. K., & Magidson, J. (2004). *Factor Analysis with Categorical Indicators: A Comparison Between Traditional and Latent Class Approaches*. Massachusetts: Statistical Innovations.
- West, S. G., Finch, J. F., & Curran, P. J. (1995). Structural equation model with non – normal variables: Problem and remedies. *Structural Equation Modeling: Concepts, Issues and Applications*. California: Sage.