บรรณานุกรม

ศิริรัตน์ วงศ์ประกรณ์กุล. (2539). การจำลองโดยใช้เทคนิคมอนติ คาร์โล. *วารสารวิทยาศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยขอนแก่น, 24,* 240 – 246.

Alexander, R.A. (1988). Group homogeneity, range restriction, and range enhancement effects on correlations. *Personnel Psychology, 41,* 773-777.

Alexander, R.A., Alliger, G.M., & Hanges, P.L. (1984). Correcting for range restriction when the population variance is unknown. *Applied Psychological Measurement, 8,* 431-437.

Alexander, R.A., Carson, K.P., Alliger, G.M, & Carr, S.F. (1987). Correcting doubly truncated correlations: An improved approximation for correcting the bivariate normal correlation when truncation has occurred on both variables. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 47,* 309-315.

Allen, N.L., & Dunbar, S.B. (1990). Standard errors of correlations adjusted for incidental selection. *Applied Psychological Measurement, 14,* 83-84.

Allen, M. J., & Yen, W. M. (1979). Introduction to Measurement Theory. Long Grove, IL.: Waveland Press.

Andre, T., & Hegland, S. (1998). Range restriction, outliners, and the use of the Graduate Record Examination to predict graduate school performance. *American Psychologist, 53,* 574-575.

Bobko, P. (1983). An analysis of corrected for attenuation and range restriction. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 68,* 584 – 589.

Bobko, P., & Rieck, A. (1980). Large sample estimators for standard errors of functions of correlation coefficients. *Applied Psychological Measurement, 4,* 385-398.

Bryant, N.D., & Gokhale, S. (1972). Correcting correlations of restrictions in range due to selection on an unmeasured variable. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 32,* 305-310.

Burke, M.J., Raju, N.S., & Pearlman, K. (1986). An empirical comparison of the results of five validity generalization procedures. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 71*, 349-353.

Callender, J.C., & Osburn, H.G. (1980). Development and test of a new model for validity generalization. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 65*, 543-558.

Callender, J.C., & Osburn, H.G., Greener, J.M., & Ashworth, S. (1982). Multiplicative validity generalization model: Accuracy of estimates as a function of sample size and mean, variance, and shape of the distribution of true validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 67*, 859-867.

Cohen, A.C. (1959). Simplified estimators for the normal distribution when samples are single censored or truncated. *Technometrics I*, 217-237.

Duan, B., & Dunlap, W.P. (1997). The accuracy of different methods for estimating the standard error of correlations corrected for range restriction. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 57*, 254-265.

Dunbar, S.B., & Linn, R.L. (1991). *Range restriction adjustments in the prediction of military job performance*. In A. K. Wigdor & B.F. Green, Jr. (Eds.), Performance Assessment for the Workplace, II (p.p. 127- 157). Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Elshout, J.J., & Roe, R.A. (1973). Restriction of range in the population. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 33*, 53-62.

Filed, A.P. (2001). Meta-analysis of correlation coefficients: A Monte Carlo comparison of fixed – and random – effects methods. *Psychological Methods, 6*, 161-180.

Fisher, R.A. (1915). Frequency distribution of the values of the correlation coefficient in samples from an indefinitely large population, *Biometrika, 10*, 507.

Gall, M. D., Brog, W. R., & Gall, J. P. (1996*). Educational Research: An Introduction* (6th ed.). White Plains, NY: Longman Publishers USA.

Greener, J.M., & Osburn, H.G. (1979). An empirical study of the accuracy of corrections for restriction in range due to explicit selection. *Applied Psychological Measurement, 3*, 31-41.

Gross, A.L. (1982). Relaxing the assumptions underlying corrections for restriction of range. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 42,* 795-801.

Gross, A.L., & McGanney, M.L. (1987). The restriction of range problem and nonignorable selection process. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 72,* 604-610.

Hall, S.M., & Brannick, M.T. (2002). Comparison of two random-effects method of meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 87,* 377-389.

Hoffman, C.C. (1995). Applying range restriction corrections using published normal three case studies. *Personnel Psychology, 48,* 913-923.

Hunter, J.E., & Hunter, R.F. (1984). Validity and utility of alternative predictors of job performance. *Psychological Bulletin, 96,* 72-98.

Hunter, J.E., & Schmidt, F.L. (1990). *Methods of meta-analysis: Correcting error and bias in research findings.* Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

Hunter, J.E., & Schmidt, F.L. (2001). Fixed effects vs. random effects meta-analysis models: Implications for cumulative research knowledge. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment, 8,* 275-292.

Hunter, J.E., Schmidt, F.L., & Lee, H. (2003). *Implications of direct and indirect range restriction for meta-analysis methods and findings.* Manuscript submitted for publication.

Kelley, T.L. (1923). *Statistical method.* New York: Macmillan.

Kuncel, N.R., Campbell, J.P., & Ones, D.S. (1998). Validity of the Graduate Records Examination: Estimated or tacitly known?. *American Psychologist, 53,* 567-568.

Law, K., Schmidt, F.L., & Huntet, J.E. (1994a). Nonlinearity of range corrections in meta-analysis: Test of an improve procedure. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 79,* 425-438.

Law, K., Schmidt, F.L., & Huntet, J.E. (1994b). A test of two refinements in procedures for meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 79,* 928-986.

Lee, R., Miller, K.J., & Graham, W.K. (1982). Corrections for restriction of range and attenuation in criterion-related validation studies. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 67,* 637-639.

Linn, R.L. (1968). Range restriction problems in the use of self-selected groups for test validation. *Psychological Bulletin, 69,* 69-73.

Linn, R.L. (1983). Pearson selection formulas: Implications for studies of predictive bias and estimates of educational effects in selected samples. *Journal of Educational Measurement, 20,* 1-15.

Linn, R.L., Harnisch, D.L., & Dunbar, S.B. (1981a). Corrections for range restriction: An empirical investigation of conditions resulting in conservative corrections. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 66,* 655-663.

Mendoza, J.L., Hart, D.E., & Powell, A. (1991). A bootstrap confidence interval based on a correlation corrected for range restriction. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 26,* 255-269.

Mendoza, J.L., & Reinhardt, R.N. (1991). Validity generalization procedures using sample-based estimates: A comparison of six procedures. *Psychological Bulletin, 110,* 596-610.

Merriam-Webster, Inc. (1994). *Merriam-Webster's collegiate dictionary.* (10th ed.). Springfield, MA: Merriam-Webster Inc.

Miller, C.E., Barrett, G.V., & Doverspike, D. (1998). A case study versus science. *American Psychologist, 53,* 569-570.

Muthen, B., & Joreskog, K.G. (1983). Selectivity problems in quasi-experimental studies. *Evaluation Review, 7,* 139-174.

Nunnally, J.C., & Bernstein, I.H. (1994). *Psychometric theory.* (3rd ed.). MaGraw-Hill.

Olson, C.A., & Becker, B.E. (1983). A proposed technique for the treatment of restriction of range in selection validation. *Psychological Bulletin, 93,* 137-148.

Raju, N.S., & Burke, M.J. (1983). Two new procedures for studying validity generalization. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 68,* 382-395.

Raju, N.S., & Burke, M.J., Normand, J., & Langlois, G.M. (1991). A new meta-analytic Approach. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 76,* 432-446.

Raju, N.S., Anselmi, t.v., Goodman, J.S., & Thomas, A. (1998). The effect of correlated artifacts and true validity on the accuracy of parameter estimation in validity generalization. *Personnel Psychology, 51,* 453-465.

Ree, M.L., Carretta, T.R., Earles, J.A., & Albert, E. (1994). Sign changes when correcting for range restriction: A note on Pearson's and Lawley's selection formulas. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 79,* 298-301.

Robert, C.P., & Casella, G.. (2004). *Monte Carlo statistical methods.* (2nd ed.). New York: Springer-Verlag,

Roth, P.L., Bobko, P., Switzer, F.S., & Dean, M.A. (2001). Prior selection causes biased estimates of standardized ethnic group differences: Simulation and analysis. *Personnel Psychology, 54,* 594-617.

Sackett, P.R., & Ostgaard, D.J. (1994). Job-specific applicant pools and national norms for cognitive ability tests: Implications for range restriction corrections in validation research. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 79,* 680-684.

Sackett, P.R., & Yange, H. (2000). Correction for range restriction: An expanded typology. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 85 ,* 112-118.

Sackett, P.R., & Wsde, B.E. (1983). On the feasibility of criterion-related validity: The effects of range restriction assumptions on needed sample size. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 68,* 374-381.

Schmidt, F.L. (2002). Correcting for indirect range restriction. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 88,* 602-613.

Schmidt, F.L., Gast-Rosenberg, I.E., & Hunter, J.E. (1980). Test of a new model of validity generalization: Results for computer programmers. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 65,* 643-661.

Schmidt, F.L., Hunter, J.E., & Urry, V.W. (1976). Statistical power in criterion-related validity studies. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 61,* 473-485.

Schmidt, F.L., & Hunter, J.E. (1977). Development of a general solution to the problem of validity generalization. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 62,* 529-540.

Schmidt, F.L., & Hunter, J.E. (2003). History, *development, evolution, and impact of validity generalization and meta-analysis methods,* 1975-2001. Chapter 2 in Validity Generalization: A critical review, K.R. Murphy (Ed.), pp. 31-66.

Schmidt, F.L., Ones, D.S., & Hunter, J.E. (1992). Personnel selection. *Annual Review of Psychology, 43,* 671-710.

Stauffer, J.M., & Mendoza, J.L. (2001). The proper sequence for correcting correlation coefficients for restriction and unreliability. *Psychometrika, 66,* 63-68.

Steinberg, R.J., & Williams, W.M. (1997). Does the Graduate Record Examination predict meaningful success in the graduate training of psychologists?: A case study. *American Psychologists, 52,* 630-641.

Thorndike, R.L. (1949). *Personnel selection: Test and measurement techniques.* New York: Wiley.

Weber, D. A. (2001). Restriction of range: The Truth about Consequences and Corrections. Retrieved August 6, 2007, from http://www.eric.ed.gov/ERICWebPortal/Home.portal; jsessionid_G2qJgZDSmG.

Vogt, W. Paul. (2005). *Dictionary of statistics and methodology: A nontechnical guide for the social sciences.* (3rd ed.). London: Sage.